Numerical modelling of volcanic tsunamis

Lily Battershill and Matthew Hayward





Climate, Freshwater & Ocean Science

A bit of background...

Tsunami sources (Harbitx et al Nat Hazards 2013)



- Earthquake
- Earthquake and Landslide
- Volcano and Earthquake
 Volcano
- Volcano and Landslide
 Landslide
- Unknown

- Most tsunamis are generated by submarine earthquakes on subduction zones.
- But earthquakes are not the only way to generate tsunamis!
- At least 10% of historical tsunamis are not associated with an earthquake...
- And another 5% of tsunamis occurred after an earthquake, but that was not the primary trigger

Cas & Wright 1991, Watts & Waythomas 2003, Maeno & Imamura 2011, Sulpizo et. al. 2014, Dufek 2016, Bread & Lube 2017,







Tsunamis of volcanic origin

- Underwater eruption
- Caldera collapse
- Pyroclastic flows
- Flank failure
- Shock waves





https://www.shtfplan.com/headline-news/frightening-methane-explosion-steam-volcano-maycause-massive-tsunami_07082010



Sakellariou, D., Rousakis, G., Nomikou, P., Bell, K.C., Carey, S., Sigurdsson, H., 2012. Tsunami triggering mechanisms associated with the 17th cent. BC Minoan eruption of Thera volcano, Greece. Int. Soc. Offshore Polar Eng Online.

Tsunamis of volcanic origin

- Underwater eruption
 - Caldera collapse
 - Pyroclastic flows
 - Flank failure
 - Shock waves







Tsunamis of volcanic origin

- Underwater eruption
- Caldera collapse
 - Pyroclastic flows
- Flank failure
- Shock waves





https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1029/2002JB002265



Tsunamis of volcanic origin

- Underwater eruption
- Caldera collapse
- Pyroclastic flows
- Flank failure
- Shock waves







https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BUREX8aFbMs

Tsunamis of volcanic origin

- Underwater eruption
- Caldera collapse
- Pyroclastic flows
- Flank failure
- Shock waves





Volcanic Tsunamis Project

- Funding for 4 PhDs (Marsden and UoA Doctoral Scholarships)
- 2 x experimental PhDs and 2 x numerical (Matty and I!)
- Underwater eruptions and pyroclastic flows
- Integrated physical-numerical approach







Lily Battershill

Investigating the tsunami generation potential of pyroclastic flows with numerical modelling







What do we know?

- Two key end members to a pyroclastic flow: dense-type & light type
- A number of historical examples of PDCs
- Experimental & numerical models of entrance of PDCs into water, gravity currents, landslides, experiments on PDC deposits → simplified initialisation models
- Theoretical work: how PDCs can generate waves. Plume shear, plume pressure, debris flow, explosions
- Recent advances in numerical modelling: can understand phenomena involved. I.e. fluidisation of granular phases.



Current limitations

- Most current numerical modelling of pyroclastic flows simplifies the problem to a 'fast moving (in come cases mobile) landslide'
- Assumption that all wave propagation is controlled by the dense part of the flow
- Depth averaged \rightarrow cannot model turbulent mixing
- Heat transfer & flow water interactions not accounted for
- Computational expense vs. understanding the sensitivity





My work so far

- Familiarising myself with the problem & mechanisms involved (theoretical work).
- Main area of focus = tsunami wave generation potential of dilute pyroclastic flows.
- Identifying how I am going to simplify the scenario: 'Jet of fast gas' vs. cavity collapse
- Simple Basilisk simulations (see next page)
- Basic comparison study: how well does a cavity collapse model the waves generated by a jet. How much does injection of momentum affect the wave profile?



Jet of gas vs. cavity collapse simulations



- Non dimensionalised by water depth h and shallow water wave speed.
- Domain size 16 x 16

- 0 axis is axis of symmetry
- Left is vertical velocity, right is horizontal





Jet of gas vs. cavity collapse simulations



- Non dimensionalised by water depth h and shallow water wave speed.
- Domain size 16 x 16

- 0 axis is axis of symmetry
- Left is vertical velocity, right is horizontal





Time series comparison

Comparison of free surface amplitudes measured at a distance r = 10 from the 'source' (center of cavity).



Time series comparison

Comparison of free surface amplitudes measured at a distance r = 10 from the 'source' (center of cavity).







Next steps and questions (Lily)

- Investigate the correlation of the cavity and blow scenarios
- Explore the parameter space further.
- Mimic a column collapse/entry at an angle, thus extending the parameter space.
- Run in 3D and explore directionality: a key factor in pyroclastic flow generated tsunamis
- Dispersion?
- Dry granular flows \rightarrow fluidised granular flows





Matty Hayward

Underwater Triggers

- Plumes
- Jets
- (Steam) Explosions
- Bathymetric (e.g. caldera collapse)







- Crash course in Basilisk!
- Start with simple cases:







- Crash course in Basilisk!
- ...and a simplified replication model of physical experiment:







- Crash course in Basilisk!
- ...and a simplified replication model of physical experiment:



- Crash course in Basilisk!
- ...and a simplified replication model of physical experiment:



Going from 2D...

...into 3D!







- Crash course in Basilisk!
- …and a simplified replication model of physical experiment:











- Crash course in Basilisk!
- …also try adapt to a given other scenario:







- Crash course in Basilisk!
- Usage of HPC
- In our case, the New Zealand eScience Infrastructure
- From a 'newbie' perspective, very easy to work in parallel.







Next Steps

- Develop way to extract generated wave data for use in other solvers.
- Expand scale to be more relevant and realistic.

Early Questions Raised

- Potential of three-phase solver?
- Compressibility?



